

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,496	03/12/2004	Raymond W. Burns	GOEA 02547 C1US	8249
32233	7590 11/23/2004		EXAMINER	
STORM & HEMINGWAY, L.L.P.			NEUDER, WILLIAM P	
8117 PREST STE. 460	ON RD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · - · · · - ·	DALLAS, TX 75225			

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summans	10/799,496	BURNS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William P Neuder	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	nis action is non-final.	ction is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	red.					
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>	(PTO 440)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/15/04.	(8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 16-27 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,739,411. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 and 28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,739,411. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Art Unit: 3672

not patentably distinct from each other because independent claims 1 and 28 are identical to claims 1 and 28 of 6,739,411 except for claims 1 and 28 of 6,739,411 call for removal of the finger set and blade as a unit. It would have been considered obvious to eliminate removal of the finger set and blade from the claims of 6,739,411 since this limitation adds little to the claimed invention in that all pieces of a device may be removed. Claims 2-7 are identical to claims 2-7 of 6,739,411. Claims 11-15 are identical to claims 8-12 of 6,739,411. Claims 8-10 call for placing the hardened material along the front edge. It would have been considered obvious to place the hardened material at any point on the claimed auger one may desire.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P Neuder whose telephone number is 703-308-2150. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William P Neuder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

W.P.N.